

Springfield Transportation System Plan	
Primary Focus Area	Transportation
Secondary Focus Area(s)	Economic development
Type of plan (Functional, general, etc.)	Functional
Motivation/Purpose for the Plan	The Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660 Division 12, requires jurisdictions throughout Oregon to prepare and adopt regional or local transportation plans that serve as the transportation element for their comprehensive plans (660 012 0015(2)(4)). Plan updates should respond to transportation, land use, environmental, population growth, economic and social changes that have occurred in the community since the TSP was last prepared. Historically, TransPlan has served as the local TSP for both Springfield and Eugene. However, since the passage of HB 3337, Eugene and Springfield are developing individual TSPs specific to each jurisdiction's separate UGBs.
Author/Organization	City of Springfield
Plan Developer(s)	City Staff and Consultant Staff (CH2M Hill and Kittleson Assoc.)
Date Created	Ongoing
Date Approved	In process
Date Updated (or scheduled to be updated)	In process
Geographic Scope	Springfield
Key Themes	The TSP update is intended to serve as a blueprint to guide future multi-modal transportation system improvements and investment decisions for the City of Springfield.
Location/URL	http://www.centallanertsp.org/SpringfieldTSP
Inputs	
What Inputs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative: Existing land use; existing transportation system details <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitative: Demographic analysis; traffic counts; crash data, regional transportation model <input type="checkbox"/> Other:
Input Analysis	
Source	Highway Mobility Standards Local and regional traffic counts and system inventory Springfield Buildable Lands Inventory TransPlan US Census Bureau
Address TBL?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Explicitly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, Implicitly

Springfield Transportation System Plan

continued

Are any of the following impacts addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Economic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quality of life <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equity
Input presentation	Currently, the TSP has not been fully developed. Therefore a determination of whether the inputs support the desired outcomes cannot yet be made.
Input leads to policies	<input type="checkbox"/> Policies in plan derive from plan inputs Comments:
Policies/ Actions without supporting inputs	
Inputs and Goals	<input type="checkbox"/> Goals are supported by inputs. Comments:
Input Scope	<input type="checkbox"/> Narrow <input type="checkbox"/> Broad Comments:
Public Involvement and Consultation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Input from Boards and Commissions <input type="checkbox"/> Within topic area (<i>if so, list them here</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Outside topic area (<i>if so, list them here</i>)
Goals	
Key Goals/ Recommendations	<p>Draft Goal 1: Provide an efficient, sustainable, diverse and environmentally sound transportation system that supports the economy and land use patterns.</p> <p>Draft Goal 2: Preserve, maintain and enhance Springfield’s transportation system through safe, efficient and cost-effective transportation system operations and maintenance techniques for all modes.</p> <p>Draft Goal 3: Enhance and expand transportation system design to provide a complete range of mode choices.</p> <p>Draft Goal 4: Create and maintain a sustainable transportation funding plan that provides implementable steps towards meeting Springfield’s vision.</p> <p>The goals are not predefined. These goals relate to goals in other transportation plans. These goals are broad.</p>
Desired Outcomes	A safe, efficient and cost-effective multi-modal transportation system
Crossover Goals	Goal 1 (economic development)

Springfield Transportation System Plan
continued

Strategies	
Strategies and Action Items	50 draft action items are listed, supporting all four goals and various policies. As of date, these are in draft form and subject to change.
Strategies for Implementation	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies for Implementation accomplished regularly
Policies and Capital or Program Investments	
Direction of policies and use of resources	
CIP Connections	Yes. The TSP will have a list of transportation projects for the next 20 years.
Investment Links	Yes, the TSP is a functional plan of the Metro Plan, and the future Springfield 2030 Plan. It will also have to be consistent with the RTP and the RTSP.
Plan Performance and Maintenance	
Strategies for Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies for Maintenance accomplished regularly
Plan Performance	The Plan will likely have performance measures, especially if our future conditions analysis shows any increase in VMT.
Linkages and Connections with Other Plans and Agencies	
Connections to other plans	Downtown Parking Management Plan Eugene TSP (draft) Lane Transit District Long Range Transit Plan Oregon Highway Plan Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Regional Transportation System Plan (RTSP) Springfield 2030 Plan (draft) Willamalane Comprehensive Plan
Connections to other agencies	City of Eugene Lane Transit District Oregon Department of Transportation Springfield Public Schools Willamalane Parks and Recreation District

Springfield Transportation System Plan

Timeline:

